Journal of Biosafety Volume 15, Number 3, Fall, 2022 pISSN 2717-0632, eISSN 2716-9804

Effect of Shading Cover on some Quality Properties of 'Bidaneh Sefid' and 'Bidaneh Ghermez' Grapes

20.1001.1.27170632.1401.15.3.1.9 Research Article Farzad Azadshahraki*¹, Ghasem Zarei¹, Davood Momeni², Razieh Mahmoodi³

- Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Iran.
- 2. Agricultural Engineering Research Department, Isfahan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Isfahan, Iran.
- 3. Temperate Fruits Research Center, Horticultural Science Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Iran.

farzad_shahrekian@yahoo.com

Resived Date: 2022/12/16, Accepted Date: 2022/11/13

Page: 1-14

Abstract

One protected horticultural method against biotic and abiotic stresses is shading covers. Using shading cover to reduce the damage of this stress can change the plant's microclimate and, subsequently, the final product's quality. This study aimed to investigate the effects of shading cover on grape quality. The grapevines were subjected to shading cover cloth with green color from March to September for two years. In the first year of the experiment, a shading cover with 50% shade was used for Bidaneh Sefid grape variety, and the result indicated that this percentage of shade reduced the final fruit quality. In the second year of the experiment, 20% shade was used for Bidaneh Sefid and Bidaneh Ghermez varieties. Results showed that this shading percentage improved the physical properties of the fruit, especially in the Bidaneh Sefid variety. Soluble solid content, titratable acid, and vitamin C of both grape varieties were not affected by 20% shade. Like 50% shade, total phenol and antioxidant capacity were decreased by 20% shade in both grape varieties. Further research for different shade percentages on different crops and cultivars in every climate will be necessary to develop shading cover technology for horticulture crops in Iran.

Keywords: Grape, Quality, Shading net, Microclimate, Protected horticulture.

Introduction

Grape (Vitis vinifera L.) is an importent fruit crop and one of the most diffuse fruits in the world, both as fresh fruit (table grape) and processed in grape juice, molasses, and raisins (Mullins et al. 1992; Reisch et al. 2012; Meng 2017). It is helpful in hemorrhoids, fighting dyspepsia, and stone in the urinary and bile tract. Grape also eases digestion, activate liver functions, help reduce cholesterol level in the blood and eliminate uric acid. This fruit is also antiviral and disinfectant that aids the nervous system and is useful processes that demineralize the body, such as pregnancy and nursing (Reisch et al. 2012; Orak. 2007; Giovanelli and Brenna, 2007). Quality, the degree of excellence or superiority of edible horticultural crops, is a combination of properties that give each product value in term of food. To producers, the fruit must have a high yield and pleasing appearance and withstand long-distance transportation to markets. From the point view of wholesale and marketers, appearance, firmness, and shelf-life are important. Consumers prefer fruits that have a good quality appearance, firmness, flavor, nutrients such as vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, dietary fibers, and many compounds enhance bioactive that human health (Abbott. 1999; Kader. 1999). Maturity indices of fruit are important for deciding when it should be harvested to provide some marketing factors and acceptable eating quality to the consumers. The accumulation of sugars is the most important change in fruit quality in the ripening stage. Sugars give the sweetness desired in fresh or dried fruit and processed products (Mitcham et al. 1996; Hellman. 2004; Kader. 2003). Glucose and fructose are the main sugars of the mature grape berry. The major content of soluble

solids in fruit juice is sugars, and therefore sugar content can be estimated by soluble solids content. The acidity level is one of the essential quality properties of table grapes and grape juice. Sugar strongly influences the acceptance of fresh grapes and their processed product to acid balance. More than 90% of the total acids in grapes are tartaric and malic. Both acids accumulate before the ripening onset, and their ratio varies in different cultivars (Giovanelli and Brenna, 2007; Hellman. 2004; Kader. 2003).

During grape ripening, berries lose chlorophyll synthesize and and compounds accumulate phenolic responsible for development. color Phenolic compounds are antioxidants naturally horticultural are in products. The major phenolic compounds in grapes are tannins, anthocyanins, benzoic acids, cinnamic acids, and flavonols. Anthocyanins cause red and purple in some grape cultivars and are important quality factors in table grapes (Reisch et al. 2012; Giovanelli and Brenna, 2007; Hellman. 2004; Ford. 2007; Du Plessis. 2017; Faheem et al. 2021). Grape is known as an important source of antioxidants. Phenolic composition and other compounds found in fruits and vegetables might influence their antioxidant activities. The imbalance between free radicals and antioxidants is thought to be involved in developing cancers, diabetes. cardiovascular diseases, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease. Fruits and vegetables reduce oxidative stress and are effective in preventing these diseases. Different kinds of fruits and varieties of a specific fruit can exhibit capacities different antioxidant (Giovanelli and Brenna, 2007; Mulero et 2012; 2010; Bunea et al. Songsermsakul et al. 2013). Vitamin C, known as ascorbic, is one of the crucial

components of grape berries. vitamin is an antioxidant that neutralizes free radicals, is required for many physiological functions, and improves protein metabolism and immune system activity (Okonogi et al. 2007; Kok et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2018). One protected horticulture method for cultivating many products is shading covers made from polyethylene, propylene, etc. structures are used for radiation control, and protection against wind, hail, pest, bird. insect-transmitted diseases (Shahak et al. 2004; Dussi et al. 2005; Costa et al 2010; Amaro de Sales et al. 2021; Mditshwa et al. 2019).

In addition, in hot and cold climates, high and low temperatures may cause many adverse effects on crop growth and technology quality. This improves climate factors (e.g., temperature, humidity, radiation, and wind speed) and can reduce the damages caused by inappropriate temperature and radiation of crops. Reducing irrigation water consumption due to the reduction of evaporation and transpiration is another important advantage of shading cover technology (Shahak et al. 2004; Costa et al 2010). Changes in the microclimate condition of a crop, incredibly light and temperature in different stages of growth and development, can be led to changes in the product's quality characteristics. For example, if the heat requirement of the plant is not met, the ripening of the final product will be affected, or the intensity and quality of light can affect the color and taste of the fruit (Du Plessis. 2017; Paradiso and Proietti, 2022; Tamim et al. 2022). Different shading percentages and cover colors can affect the plant microclimate and fruit quality (Mditshwa et al. 2019; Tamim et al. 2022; Miller et al. 2015).

In areas with high light, including many areas of Iran, the light intensity can lead to disorders in the development and appearance of fruits (Du Plessis. 2017; Paradiso and Proietti, 2022; Tamim et al. 2022). Sunscald injury and uneven ripening are two disorders brought on by the direct effects of light with high intensity on fruit. Sunscald injury of fruit increased with irradiance, air temperature, and their combined effects. In a specific grape cultivar, composition berries' chemical appearance depend on the environmental condition. growing practices ripening stages (Ford. 2007; Dokoozlian and Kliewer, 1996; Bergqvist et al. 2001; Chorti et al. 2010).

Little is known about the fruit quality properties of grapevines grown under shading covers developed in Iran. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of shading cover on some appearance and physical (color components, weight, size, and firmness) and chemical properties (solid soluble content, titratable acid, vitamin C, total phenol, total anthocyanin, and total antioxidant capacity) of grapefruit (cv. Bidaneh Sefid and Bidaneh Ghermez) in Ghazvin province.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out for two years (2021 and 2022) in a vineyard in Takestan, Ghazvin, Iran (longitude: 49° 31' 02" E, latitude: 35° 55' 28" N, altitude 1387 m) where the shading cover structure was built by Agricultural Education and Extension Research, Organization. The vines were ten years old, two meters apart in three-meterwide rows, and were trained to bilateral cordon system. The grape vines were subjected to shading cover with green color (Exirsaz Shomal Co Ltd., Iran) from March to September. In the first year of the experiment, the shading cover with relative shading of 50% was used for the Bidaneh Sefid table grape (white variety). In the second year, a shading cover with 20% shade cloth was used because of the negative effect of the shading cover with 50% shade on fruit quality. For shading cover of 20%, Bidaneh Ghermez table grape (red variety) was also tested. The distance between the support columns of the covers on the rows and between the rows was 6 and 4 meters, respectively. The height of the structure was 3.3 meters. clusters were harvested September when non-shaded grapes were ripened. The t-test was used to compare quality properties non-shaded with shaded the same trees as treatment. T-test was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 26 software.

Color and physical properties measurements

Berries color was measured with a Minolta Chroma Meter CR-400 colorimeter (Minolta, Japan). The chromaticity was recorded according to International Commission Illumination L*, a*, and b* color space coordinates. This color space expresses color as three values: L* for perceptual lightness and a* and b* for the four unique colors of red, green, blue, and yellow (Mitcham et al. 1996). Cluster weight (gr), berry weight (gr), berry length (mm), berry width (mm), and firmness were measured as physical properties. Berries firmness was determined with a H5KS (Hounsfield, UK) penetrometer that had a 3.2 mm diameter tip and was expressed in N (Mitcham et al. 1996).

Internal quality parameters measurement

Soluble solid content (SSC) measured using a digital refractometer Japan) DR-A1 (Atago, and expressed in °Brix. Titratable acid (TA) was determined by titration of 10 ml sample juice with 0.1 M NaOH to an end point of pH= 8.2 and was expressed in percentage of tartaric acid (Mitcham et al. 1996). The Vitamin C content of samples was measured using the titration method (AOAC. 1980). To this end, vitamin \mathbf{C} was extracted with metaphosphoric acid. The filtered extract with was then titrated 2.6 dichlorophenolindophenol which was reduced and changed from blue color to colorless by vitamin C. Vitamin C was expressed in 100 g fresh fruit.

Total phenolic content (TP) was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. Briefly, 10 μ l of the sample solution was mixed with 100 μ L of Folin-Ciocalteu and 1580 μ L of water. After a 5 minutes incubation at room temperature, 300 μ L of a saturated sodium carbonate solution was added. The absorbance was read at 760 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer after 2 h at room temperature. Gallic acid was used as a standard phenolic compound and the results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalent per liter (Raja et al. 2014).

The anthocyanin content (TAC) in the grape extracts was determined by the pH differential method. Absorbance of the samples in 0.025 M potassium chloride buffer (pH= 1.0) and 0.4 M sodium acetate buffer (pH= 4.5) were measured at 520 and 700 nm using the equation 1:

Equation 1 $A = (A\lambda 520 - A\lambda 700)pH 1.0 - (A\lambda 520 - A\lambda 700) pH 4.5$

With a molar extinction coefficient of 28000. Total anthocyanin was expressed as mg of malvidin-3-Oglucoside equivalent in per 100 g fresh fruit (Wrolstad. 1976). Total anthocyanin was measured only for the Bidaneh Ghermez grape (red variety). The total antioxidant (TAO) activity was determined by the scavenging capacity of 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radicals. 0.1 mM solution of DPPH in ethanol was

prepared. 0.1 ml of various concentrations of the grape extracts diluted in ethanol was added to 2.9 ml of DPPH solution. The absorbance at 517 nm was read after 5 min of incubation at room temperature. Radical scavenging capacity (RSC) was calculated using the equation 2 and was expressed as DPPH radical scavenging percentage (Blois. 1958).

DPPH RSC (%) = $[(Ac-As)/Ac \times 100]$ Equaion 2

Where Ac and As are the absorbance of the control in ethanol and absorbance of the grape sample, respectively, and DPPH RSC is free radicals scavenging of DPPH.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the results of the t-test to compare firmness and some taste and internal quality traits (SSC, TA, Vit C, TP, and TAO) of Bidaneh Sefid grape that was grown under shading cover with

50% shade and outside the shade. A comparison of means showed significant difference (p < 0.01) between shaded and non-shaded fruits in all investigated traits except firmness. The SSC and TA outside the shade were 28.99 °Brix and 0.49%, respectively. Under the shade treatment, SSC was 20.70 °Brix and TA was 0.39%. SSC and TA, as the most important factors involved in fruit taste and ripening, were lower in the shaded grapes than in the non-shaded grapes.

Table 1. Results of t-test to compare some quality properties of the Bidaneh Sefid grape variety under 50% shad with non-shaded treatment.

		Treatment	
Property	Statistics and t-test	Non-shaded	Shaded
	No.	15	15
	Mean	4.23	4.14
E (NI)	SD	0.75	0.69
F (N)	t	0.33	
	df	28	
	p	0.74	
	Ño.	15	15
	Mean	28.99	20.70
SSC °Brix	SD	0.39	0.49
	t	51.17	
	df	28	
	p	0.00	

"Journal of Biosafety; Volume 15, Number 3, Fall 2022"

	No.	15	15
	Mean	0.49	0.36
TA (0/)	SD	0.029	0.03
TA (%)	t	12.61	
	df	28	
	p	0.00	
	No.	15	15
	Mean	29.11	20.88
Vit C (mg/100gr)	SD	1.23	1.28
Vit C (mg/100gr)	t	17.99	
	df	28	
	p	0.00	
	No.	15	15
	Mean	357.96	289.72
TP (mg/L)	SD	33.67	16.81
II (IIIg/L)	t	7.02	
	df	28	
	p	0.00	
	No.	15	15
	Mean	78.30	57.60
DPPH RSC %	SD	0.29	1.23
DITTINGC 70	t	63	
	df	28	
	p	0.00	

The mean of the TP and Vit C contents, as the main component of grape antioxidant, in shaded grape (289.72 mg/Lit and 20.88 mg/100gr, respectively) were lower than those of non-shaded grape (357.96 mg/Lit and 29.11 mg/100gr, respectively). The same was true for DPPH RSC as TAO (57.60% for non-shaded and 78.30% for shaded treatments). However, significant difference was observed between the firmness of grapes in the two methods (p>0.05). Photosynthesis produces carbon-based compounds within the grapevine: the most important include sugars and acids (Jackson. 2000). Light is the main effector in photosynthesis; metabolite thus, accumulation quality largely depends on light intensity and quality (Paradiso and Proietti, 2022; Tamim et al. 2022; Bartoli et al. 2009).

Berry exposure to appropriate light intensity has been found to significantly influence both acid accumulation and degradation of sugar during maturation (Ford. 2007). Results of these studies

support findings by Dokoozlian and Kleiwer (1996), Bergqvist et al. (2001), and Chorti et al. (2010), which reported that berries grown in the inappropriate lighting condition through all stages of its development were lower in sugar concentrations compared with those grown in light-exposed (Dokoozlian and Kliewer 1996; Bergqvist et al. 2001; Chorti et al. 2010).

Marais (1996) and Chorti et al. (2010) found that sugar accumulation was slower in shaded grapes than in exposed grapes (Chorti et al. 2010; Marais, 1996). Matus et al. (2009) and Chorti et al. (2010) reported that grape phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity were drastically reduced following shading treatment (Chorti et al. 2010; Matus et al. 2009). The result of the present research was consistent with the result of their research. Several studies show that environmental conditions during growth and development affect the vitamin C content in fruits (Albertini et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2007). Because of the negative effect of 50% shad on grape ripening, the appearance quality traits (weight, size and color) have not been measured and reported. Tables 2 and 3 show the results of appearance traits of weight, size (cluster weight, berry weight, berry length, and berry width), color components (L*, a* and b*), and firmness for Bidaneh Sefid and Bidaneh Ghermez varieties under 20% shade compared to non-shaded. The ttest showed that all weight and size properties of the Bidaneh Sefid variety were affected by shading (p < 0.05). Cluster weight, berry weight, berry length, and berry width in the shaded treatment with the means of 456.67 gr, 2.01 gr, 16.91 mm, and 12.74 mm, respectively, were more than those of non-shaded grape with the means of 306.15 gr, 1.45 gr, 15.63 mm and 11.16 mm, respectively. Among the color components, a* was affected by shading (p < 0.01), and shaded grapes were greener than non-shaded grapes. No significant differences were between the shading and non-shading methods in cluster weight, berry weight, berry width and color components in the Bidaneh Ghermez variety (p>0.05) but berry length was significantly higher

(p < 0.05) in shaded treatment (1.67 mm) than in non-shaded (1.44). In shaded treatment, a slight increase in berry width and berry weight and a significant increase in berry length led to an increase in cluster weight. However, the difference between cluster weights in the two methods was insignificant. During the final ripening stage, direct sunlight and unusual winds may cause berry dehydration, loss of berry weight and size and subsequently, loss of cluster weight in the grapevine not protected by shading cover (Chorti et al. 2010). Not being exposed to grapevine to direct sunlight may influence the appearance of grapefruit (Ford. 2007). In this research, the reduction of direct light and other environmental changes caused by the 20% shading has improved some of the appearance properties of both grape varieties. This obtained results for cluster weight, berry weight, and berry size was in agreement with previous studies that indicated little positive effect (Chorti et al. 2010) or no effect (Spayd et al. 2002; Downey et al. 2006; Jeong et al. 2004; Cortell and Kennedy, 2006; Ristic et al. 2007) of shading on fruit cluster weight and berry size.

Table 2. Results of t-test to compare physical properties and color components of the Bidaneh Sefid grape variety under 20% shad with non-shaded treatment.

	Treatment		
Property	Statistics and t-test	Non-shaded	Shaded
-	No.	13	9
	Mean	306.15	456.67
Claster and alst (an)	SD	121.91	201.20
Cluster weight (gr)	t	2.19	
	df	20	
	p	0.04	
	No.	20	20
	Mean	1.45	2.01
D	SD	0.37	0.42
Berry weight (gr)	t	4.5	
	df	38	
	p	0.00	

"Journal of Biosafety; Volume 15, Number 3, Fall 2022"

	No.	20	20
	Mean	15.63	16.91
Dames 1 an ath ()	SD	1.75	1.53
Berry length (mm)	t	2.47	
	df	38	
	p	0.02	
	No.	20	20
	Mean	11.16	12.74
D :14 ()	SD	1.20	1.06
Berry width (mm)	t	4.38	
	df	38	
	p	0.00	
	No.	20	20
	Mean	49.51	48.84
* 4	SD	4.42	1.58
L*	t	-0.64	
	df	38	
	p	0.53	
	No.	20	20
	Mean	0.6	-4.77
at-	SD	1.38	1.18
a*	t	-13.19	
	df	38	
	p	0.00	
	No.	20	20
	Mean	29.55	28.67
1. Ψ	SD	2.61	1.28
b*	t	-1.35	
	df	38	
	p	0.19	
	No.	10	10
	Mean	4.79	4.45
E (M)	SD	0.63	0.58
F (N)	t	-1.28	
	df	18	
	p	0.217	

Table 3. Results of t-test to compare physical properties and color components of the Bidaneh Ghermez grape variety under 20% shad with non-shaded treatment.

		Treatment	
Property	Statistics and t-test	Non-shaded	Shaded
	No.	13	11
	Mean	307.31	353.37
Cluster weight (gr)	SD	128.84	171.02
Cluster weight (gr)	t	0.75	
	df	22	
	p	0.46	
	No.	20	20
	Mean	1.81	1.94
Dames and det (an)	SD	0.34	0.36
Berry weight (gr)	t	-1.19	
	df	38	
	p	0.24	
Berry length (mm)	No.	20	20

"Azadshahraki et al., Effect of Shading Cover on some Quality Properties of 'Bidaneh Sefid' ..."

Mean 18.04 16.92 SD 1.44 1.67 t -2.27 -2.27 df 38 -9 No. 20 20 Mean 11.91 12.00 SD 0.76 0.91 t -0.31 -0.31 df 38 -0.76 No. 20 20 Mean 39.51 38.80 L* SD 2.49 2.65 t -0.87 -0.87
$Berry \ width \ (mm) \ \ \begin{tabular}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$Berry \ width \ (mm) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
$Berry \ width \ (mm) \ \ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\text{Berry width (mm)} \begin{tabular}{llll} No. & 20 & 20 \\ Mean & 11.91 & 12.00 \\ SD & 0.76 & 0.91 \\ t & -0.31 & \\ df & 38 & \\ p & 0.76 & \\ No. & 20 & 20 \\ Mean & 39.51 & 38.80 \\ SD & 2.49 & 2.65 \\ t & -0.87 & \\ \end{tabular}$
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Berry Width (mm) t -0.31 df 38 p 0.76 No. 20 20 Mean 39.51 38.80 L* SD 2.49 2.65 t -0.87
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
No. 20 20 Mean 39.51 38.80 SD 2.49 2.65 t -0.87
Mean 39.51 38.80 SD 2.49 2.65 t -0.87
t -0.87
t -0.8/
df 38
p 0.39
No. 20 20
Mean 8.53 8.30
a* SD 2.63 2.56
t -0.31
df 38
p 0.76
No. 20 20
Mean 12.90 13.62
b* SD 2.00 3.06
t 0.89
df 38
p 0.38
No. 10 10
Mean 5.35 5.48
F (N) SD 0.87 0.53
0.42
df 18
p 0.68

Tables 4 and 5 show the results of the t-test to compare some taste (SSC and TA) and nutritional properties (SSC, TA, Vit C, TP, TAO and TAC) of Bidaneh Sefid and Bidaneh Ghermez varieties under 20% shade with non-shaded, respectively. Also, results showed no significant differences between SSC, TA, and Vit C in both grape varieties. The reduction of light intensity or other environmental changes caused by the shading did not significantly decrease the sugar, acid, and vitamin C concentration. These results were in agreement with the results of studies that reported no impact of shading on SSC and TA of grapes at the harvest stage (Jeong et al. 2004; Cortell and Kennedy

2006; Ristic et al. Haselgrove et al. 2000). Like the 50% shade, TP, TAO and TAC (it was measured only for Bidaneh Ghermez variety) under 20% shade treatment were significantly lower than those of non-(p < 0.01). Sunlight shaded temperature affect the accumulation of some phenolic compounds in grape berries (Downey et al. 2006). Phenols have a high antioxidant capacity (Meng et al. 2017; Kok. 2017), and in the present study, antioxidant capacity is also reduced when they are reduced by shading cover. Light intensity positively affects grape anthocyanin concentration (Dokoozlian and Kliewer, Bergqvist et al. 2001; Spayd et al. 2002;

"Journal of Biosafety; Volume 15, Number 3, Fall 2022"

Jeong et al. 2004; Haselgrove et al. 2000), and it may be critical for maximum pigment production

(Dokoozlian and Kliewer, 1996). The results were consistent with the result of Matus et al. (2009) (Matus et al. 2009).

Table 4. Results of t-test to compare some internal quality properties of the Bidaneh Sefid grape variety under 20% shad with non-shaded treatment.

		Trea	tment
Property	Statistics and t-test	Non-shaded	Shaded
	No.	9	9
	Mean	28.87	28.62
SSC °Brix	SD	0.78	0.57
SSC DIIX	t	-0.76	
	df	16	
	p	0.46	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	0.48	0.47
TA (0/)	SD	0.03	0.04
TA (%)	t	-0.37	
	df	16	
	p	0.71	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	28.82	27.48
Tr' (C (/100)	SD	2.60	2.42
Vit C (mg/100gr)	t	-1.13	
	df	16	
	p	0.27	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	392.60	350.52
TED (/I)	SD	5.14	8.41
TP (mg/L)	t	-12.80	
	df	16	
	p	0.00	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	71.30	60.72
DDDII DGG A/	SD	1.56	1.55
DPPH RSC %	t	-14.42	
	df	16	
	p	0.00	

Table 5. Results of t-test to compare some internal quality properties of the Bidaneh Ghermez grape variety under 20% shade with non-shaded treatment.

		Treatment	
Property	Statistics and t-test	Non-shaded	Shaded
	No.	9	9
SSC °Brix	Mean	28.59	28.64
	SD	0.53	0.48
	t	0.23	
	df	16	
	p	0.82	

"Azadshahraki et al., Effect of Shading Cover on some Quality Properties of 'Bidaneh Sefid' ..."

	No.	9	9
	Mean	0.31	0.30
T A (0/)	SD	0.03	0.02
TA (%)	t	-0.38	
	df	16	
	p	0.71	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	21.52	19.20
Vit C (SD	1.98	3.25
Vit C (mg/100gr)	t	-1.83	
	df	16	
	p	0.09	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	354.27	300.22
TP (mg/L)	SD	5.38	6.17
II (IIIg/L)	t	-19.79	
	df	16	
	p	00	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	0.75	0.31
TAC (mg/100gr)	SD	0.02	0.01
TAC (IIIg/100gl)	t	-49.53	
	df	16	
	p	0.00	
	No.	9	9
	Mean	63.10	57.54
DPPH RSC %	SD	2.98	1.55
DITII RSC 70	t	-4.97	
	df	16	
	p	0.00	

Conclusion

The quality properties of grapes were affected by shading cover depending on shading percentage. Shading cover with 20% shade improved the weight and size of grapes, and Bidaneh Sefid grapes were greener under shading treatment than non-shading. The results indicated that 20% shade improved appearance and physical properties or had no effect on them. Considering annual damage caused by spring frost, hail, sunscald, pest, insect and bird, reduction of irrigation consumption by using shading cover, and the further research for different shading percentages and colors on different crops and cultivars will be necessary to develop.

Acknowledgments

This research was one of the subprojects of the national project "effects of shade/nets on quality properties in horticulture products in Iran" and the mega project "Investigation of the effect of shade house on reducing environmental stresses on fruit trees, vegetable crops and ornamental plants" (Project number: 1248-14-14-085-9701-97033-971364). The authors thank the Agricultural Research, Education, and Extension Organization of Iran for supporting these projects.

References منابع

1. Mullins MG., Bouquet A. and Williams LE. (1992). Biology of the grapevine. Cambridge University Press. 252 p.

"Journal of Biosafety; Volume 15, Number 3, Fall 2022"

- 2. Reisch BI., Owens CL. and Cousins P. S. (2012). Grape. In Fruit breeding. Springer. Boston. MA. 225-262.
- 3. Meng B., Martelli G. P., Golino DA. and Fuchs M. (Eds.). (2017). Grapevine viruses: molecular biology, diagnostics and management. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 257-288
- 4. Orak HH. (2007). Total antioxidant activities, phenolics, anthocyanins, polyphenoloxidase activities of selected red grape cultivars and their correlations. Scientia Horticulturae. 111(3): 235-241.
- 5. Giovanelli G. and Brenna OV. (2007). Evolution of some phenolic components, carotenoids and chlorophylls during ripening of three Italian grape varieties. European Food Research and Technology. 225(1): 145-150.
- 6. Abbott JA. (1999). Quality measurement of fruits and vegetables. Postharvest biology and technology. 15(3): 207-225.
- Kader. AA. (1999). Fruit maturity, ripening and quality relationships. Acta Horticulture, 458: 203-
- 8. Mitcham B., Cantwell M. and Kader A. (1996). Methods for determining quality of fresh commodities. Perishables Handling Newsletter. 85: 1-5.
- 9. Hellman E. (2004). How to judge grape ripeness before harvest. In southwest regional vine and wine conference. February. 27-28
- Kader AA. (2003). Quality and safety factors: definitions and evaluation for fresh horticultural crops. In: Postharvest technology of horticultural crops. Kader AA.(Ed.). 3th edition. University of California. Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Publication 3311. 279-299.
- Ford RJ. (2007). The effect of shading and crop load on flavour and aroma compounds in Sauvignon blanc grapes and wine (Doctoral dissertation, Lincoln University).
- Du Plessis K. (2017). The evaluation of the impact of microclimatic factors on grapevine berries in a vineyard setting through molecular profiling (Doctoral dissertation, Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University).
- Faheem M., Liu J., Chang G., Abbas I., Xie B., Shan Z. and Yang K. (2021). Experimental research on grape cluster vibration signals during transportation and placing for harvest and post-harvest handling. Agriculture. 11(9), 902.
- Mulero J., Pardo F. and Zafrilla P. (2010). Antioxidant activity and phenolic composition of
- organic and conventional grapes and wines. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 23: 569-574.

 15. Bunea CI., Pop N., Babeş AC., Matea C., Dulf FV. and Bunea A. (2012). Carotenoids, total polyphenols and antioxidal activity of grapes (Vitis vinifera) cultivated in organic and conventional systems. Chemistry Central Journal. 6(1): 1-9
- Songsermsakul P., Pornphairin E. and Porasuphatana S. (2013). Comparison of antioxidant activity of grape seed extract and fruits containing high β-carotene, vitamin C, and E. International Journal of Food Properties. 16(3): 643-648.
- Okonogi S., Duangrat C., Anuchpreeda S., Tachakittirungrod S. and Chowwanapoonpohn, S. (2007). Comparison of antioxidant capacities and cytotoxicities of certain fruit peels. Food Chemistry. 103(3): 839-846.
- Kok D., Erdinc BAL. and Bahar E. (2017). Physical and biochemical properties of selected grape varieties cultivated in Tekirdag, Turkey. Int. J. Sustainable Agricultural Management and Informatics. 3(3). 672.
- Liu Q., Tang GY., Zhao CN., Feng XL., Xu XY., Cao SY. and Li HB. (2018). Comparison of
- antioxidant activities of different grape varieties. Molecules. 23(10): 2432.

 20. Shahak Y., Gussakovsky EE., Gal E., Ganelevin R. (2004). Colornets: crop protection and light-quality manipulation in one technology. In VII International Symposium on Protected Cultivation in Mild Winter Climates: Production, Pest Management and Global Competition. 659. 143-151.
- Dussi MC., Giardina G. and Reeb P. (2005). Shade nets effect on canopy light distribution and quality of fruit and spur leaf on apple cv. Fuji. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 3(2): 253-260.
- Costa LCB., Pinto JEB., De Castro EM., Alves, Bertolucci SKV. and Rosal LF. (2010). Effects of colored shade netting on the vegetative development and leaf structure of Ocimum Selloi. Bragantia. 69 (2): 349-359.
- 23. Amaro de Sales R., Chaves de Oliveira E., Buzatto E., Ferreira de Almeida R., Alves de Lima M. J., da Silva Berilli S. and Cunha Siman F. (2021). Photo-selective shading screens as a cover for production of purple lettuce. Scientific Reports. 11(1): 1-9.
- Mditshwa A., Magwaza LS. and Tesfay SZ. (2019). Shade netting on subtropical fruit: Effect on environmental conditions, tree physiology and fruit quality. Scientia Horticulturae. 256, 108556.

- Paradiso R. and Proietti S. (2022). Light-quality manipulation to control plant growth and photomorphogenesis in greenhouse horticulture: The state of the art and the opportunities of modern LED systems. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation. 41(2): 742-780.
- Tamim SA., Li F., Wang Y., Shang L., Zhang X., Tao J. and Zhang Y. (2022). Effect of shading on ascorbic acid accumulation and biosynthetic gene expression during tomato fruit development and ripening. Vegetable Research. 2(1), 1-8.
- Miller SS., Hott C. and Tworkoski T. (2015). Shade effects on growth, flowering and fruit of apple. Journal of Applied Horticulture. 17(2): 101-105.
- Dokoozlian NK. and Kliewer WM. (1996). Influence of light on grape berry growth and composition varies during fruit development. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science.
- Bergqvist J., Dokoozlian N. and Ebisuda N. (2001). Sunlight exposure and temperature effects on berry growth and composition of Cabernet Sauvignon and Grenache in the Central San Joaquin Valley of California. American journal of Enology and Viticulture. 52(1): 1-7.
- Chorti E., Guidoni S., Ferrandino A. and Novello V. (2010). Effect of different cluster sunlight exposure levels on ripening and anthocyanin accumulation in Nebbiolo grapes. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture. 61(1): 23-30.
- AOAC. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. 13th Edition, Association of Official Analytical
- Chemists, Washington, DC.

 32. Raja HN., Dara NE., Hobaika Z., Boussetta N., Vorobiev E., Maroun RG. and Louka N. (2014). Extraction of total phenolic compounds, flavonoids, anthocyanins and tannins from grape byproducts by response surface methodology. Influence of solid-liquid ratio, particle size, time, temperature and solvent mixtures on the optimization process. Food and Nutrition Sciences. 5: 397-409.
- Wrolstad RE. (1976). Color and pigment analyses in fruit products. In: Agricultural Station Bulletin 624, Oregon State University.
- Blois MS. (1958). Antioxidant determinations by the use of a stable free radical. Nature. 181(4617): 1199-1200.
- 35.
- Jackson RS. (2000). Wine science: principle, practice, perception. Academic Press.

 Bartoli CG., Tambussi EA., Diego F. and Foyer CH. (2009). Control of ascorbic acid synthesis and accumulation and glutathione by the incident light red/far red ratio in Phaseolus vulgaris leaves. FEBS Letters. 583:118-22.
- Marais J. (1996). Fruit environment and prefermentation practices for the manipulation of monoterpene, norisoprenoid and pyrazine flavorants. In Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Cool Climate Enology and Viticulture. (T. Henick-Kling, T.E. Wolf, and E.M. Harkness, eds), Rochester Riverside Convention Centre, New York: Communication Services. 40-47.
- Matus JT., Loyola R., Vega A., Peña-Neira A., Bordeu E., Arce-Johnson P. and Alcalde JA. (2009). Post-veraison sunlight exposure induces MYB-mediated transcriptional regulation of anthocyanin
- and flavonol synthesis in berry skins of Vitis vinifera. Journal of Experimental Botany. 60(3): 853-867.

 39. Albertini MV., Carcouet E., Pailly O., Gambotti C., Luro F. and Berti L. (2006). Changes in organic acids and sugars during early stages of development of acidic and acidless citrus fruit. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 54 (21): 8335-8339.
- Wang YC., Chuang YC. and Ku YH. (2007). Quantitation of bioactive compounds in citrus fruits cultivated in Taiwan. Food Chemistry. 102: 1163–1171.
- Spayd SE., Tarara JM., Mee DL. and Ferguson JC. (2002). Separation of sunlight and temperature effects on the composition of Vitis vinifera cv. Merlot berries. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture. 53(3): 171-182.
- Downey MO., Dokoozlian NK. and Krstic MP. (2006). Cultural practice and environmental impacts on the flavonoid composition of grapes and wine: a review of recent research. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture. 57: 257–268.
- Jeong ST., Goto-Yamamoto N., Kobayashi S. and Esaka MJPS. (2004). Effects of plant hormones and shading on the accumulation of anthocyanins and the expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in grape berry skins. Plant science. 167(2): 247-252.
- Cortell JM. and Kennedy JA. (2006). Effect of shading on accumulation of flavonoid compounds in (Vitis vinifera L.) pinot noir fruit and extraction in a model system. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 54(22): 8510-8520.
- Ristic R., Downey MO., Iland PG., Bindon K., Francis IL., Herderich M. and Robinson SP. (2007). Exclusion of sunlight from Shiraz grapes alters wine colour, tannin and sensory properties. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research. 13(2): 53-65
- Haselgrove L., Botting D., Van Heeswijck R., Høj PB., Dry PR., Ford C. and Land PGI. (2000). Canopy microclimate and berry composition: the effect of bunch exposure on the phenolic composition of Vitis vinifera L cv. Shiraz grape berries. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research. 6(2): 141-149.

مجله ايمنى زيستى دوره ۱۵، شماره ۳، یائیز ۱۴۰۱

ISSN 2716-9804 الكترونيكي، ISSN 2717-0632 چاپي



نوع مقاله: پژوهش*ی 20.<u>1001.1.27170632.1401.15.3.1.9</u>*

بررسی تأثیر سایبان بر برخی ویژگیهای کیفی انگور بیدانه سفید و بیدانه قرمز $^{"}$ فرزاد آزادشهرکی * ، قاسم زارعی $^{'}$ ، داود مومنی $^{"}$ و راضیه محمودی

۱. عضو هیأت علمی مؤسسه تحقیقات فنی و مهندسی کشاورزی، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، کرج ایران ۲. عضو هیأت علمی، بخش تحقیقات فنی و مهندسی کشاورزی، مرکز تحقیقات و آموزش کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی استان اصفهان، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، اصفهان، ایران.

> ۳. مؤسسه تحقیقات علوم باغبانی، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش کشاورزی و ترویج کشاورزی، کرج ایران $farzad_shahrekian@yahoo.com$ تاریخ دریافت: ۱۴۰۱/۰۸/۲۲، تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۱/۰۹/۲۵

> > صفحه ۱-۱۴

چكىدە

یکی از روشهای محیطهای کنترل شده در باغبانی در برابر تنشهای زنده و غیرزنده، استفاده از سایبانها است. استفاده از سایبان برای کاهش آسیب این تنشها می تواند باعث تغییر خردقلیم گیاه و در یے، آن، کیفیت محصول نهایی شود. هدف از این مطالعه بررسی اثرات سایبان بر کیفیت انگور بود. درختان انگور به مـدت دو سال از اسفند تا شهریور در معرض سایبان سبز رنگ قرار گرفتند. در سال اول آزمایش، توری با سایهاندازی ۵۰ درصد برای رقم انگور بیدانه سفید استفاده شد و نتایج نشان داد که این درصد سایه باعث کاهش کیفیت نهایی میوه می شود. در سال دوم آزمایش از توری با ۲۰ درصد سایهاندازی برای ارقام بیدانه سفید و بیدانه قرمز استفاده شد. نتایج نشان داد که سایهاندازی ۲۰ درصد باعث بهبود خصوصیات فیزیکی میوه بهویژه در انگور رقم بیدانه سفید شد. مواد جامد محلول، اسید قابل تیتر و ویتامین ث هر دو رقم انگور تحت تـأثیر سـایبان ۲۰ درصـد قـرار نگرفت. فنل کل و ظرفیت آنتی اکسیدانی در سایبان ۲۰ درصد سایه در هر دو رقم انگور کاهش یافت. بـهمنظـور توسعه فناوری سایبان برای محصولات باغبانی در کشور، تحقیقات بیشتری برای سایبانهای با درصد سایهاندازی مختلف روی محصولات و ارقام مختلف در هر اقلیم ضروری بهنظر میرسد.

واژههای کلیدی: انگور، خرداقلیم، کیفیت، کشتهای حفاظت شده، سایبان.